

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10:090,857 03:05/2002 Paul E. White ANCO / 56US 5898 26875 7590 05 06-2003 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP EXAMINER 2700 CAREW TOWER MOTTOLA, STEVEN J **441 VINE STREET** CINCINNATI, OH 45202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2817

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummens	090,887 Examiner Mo77	WAIT	te, Kook		
Office Action Summary	Examiner '		Group Art Unit		
	11011	OLA	2817		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> </ul>					
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				<del></del> •	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
/ Claim(s) / - 8,10 - 47		is/are p	ending in the appl	ication.	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration		
Claim(s)					
Claim(s) 19-21, 41			ejected.		
/ Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
Claim(s)					
Application Papers		require	ment.		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.				
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved.					
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
i. I received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT P	tule 1 7.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:			·•		
Attachment(s)	$\overline{}$				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	s) Ir	iterview Sumn	nary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	: N	otice of Inform	nal Patent Applicat	ion, PTO-152	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	i <b>C</b>	other			
Office Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/090,857 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 4, line 1, lacks antecedant basis for "said electrical line characteristics"; by way of suggestion it appears this claim should depend from either claim 2 or claim 3. Claim 9 is missing and claim 10 depends from the missing claim. Figure 3 shows the data flowing from the controller to the sensors when it should show the controller receiving data from the sensors. In claim 20, "voltage divider" would better read --power divider--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Art Unit:

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19-21 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sevic et al.

Refer to fig.4 and col. 6, line 34 to col. 7, line 45. Col. 7, lines 44-45 state that the gain adjusters G1-G4 may be replaced by a single adjuster prior to the input divider 94 and this is the embodiment that would best read on the claims. Then the input to such a single gain adjuster would be read as the input port of claim 19, the gain adjuster itself would be functionally equivalent to the claimed variable amplifier. Sevic et al. only define this element in broad terms as a gain adjuster; for instance at col. 6, line 63 that it supplies gain/attenuation--but this would include components that are well known in the art to provide variable gain or attenuation such as variable amplifiers or attenuators. A plurality of power amplifiers F1-F4 are provided between the outputs and inputs of dividers and combiners that may be read as the "ports' claimed. A gain control logic 118 senses the output level and adjusts the gain adjuster accordingly; controller 118 may be read as the claimed controller of claim 19. The output level depends on how many of the amplifiers are activated; this is functionally equivalent to the claimed "occupancy" since no function other than amplification is ascribed to the amplifiers. In regard to claim 20, the dividers 94,98 and 102 may be read as the claimed divider (remember that the gain controller is a single one connected before the divider 94 in this reading). In regard to claim 21, since the controller 118 senses the output, it must inherently include a sensor. Claim 41 is read on the reference in a

Application/Control Number: 10/090,857

Page 4

Art Unit:

manner analogous to claim 19, with the power amplfiers F1-F4 connected between divider/combiner outputs/inputs that may be read as the step of providing ports and the controller 118 sensing the output which determines the number of amplifiers activated which is functionally equivalent to the step of determining the occupancy and the gain adjuster performing a function equivalent to the claimed step of amplifying an input, its level being varied by the controller.

Claims 1-8,11-18,22-40 and 42-47 are allowed as the prior art of record fails to show selectively routing an output signal to output lines based on the presence of a given number of power amplifiers and/or the electrical characteristics of the output lines. Ferguson, Katz and Stedman et al. all show adjusting the output impedance of a plural channel amplifier to compensate for the number of amplifiers active; however, in all cases the combined output signal is not selectively routed as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Mottola whose telephone number is 703-308-4914. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-6251.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Math. E.